

SOCIAL DEMOCRATIC HERALD

Fourth Year. No. 24.

MILWAUKEE, WIS., SATURDAY, DECEMBER 14, 1901.

Whole No. 176.

On the Question of Arming the People.

III.

We repeat what we have often said in these columns, a revolution can never be "made," neither by one man, even if he were the most powerful genius, nor by a few thousand men, even if they were ever so fanatical.

We have examples of this in history.

Although the Catholic church in the Thirteenth and Fourteenth centuries was in pressing need of a "reform of head and members," as the holy church councils so often complained, yet the taunted Cola Renzi, after a brief season of triumph, was buried in Rome at the stake in the public market-place, amid the rejoicing of the people. Although the French especially were quite convinced of the necessity of a reformation, it was just in France, that the Albigenses were persecuted and rooted out with bloody severity. So it was in other countries. But when the time was ripe, there arose a rough monk, a man who was neither a genius nor a scholar. And this vulgar heretic, Martin Luther, carried through successfully what many other and greater men before him had attempted in vain. The minds of men had been prepared for the revolution—the reformation must be considered as such—just by the development of events.

So it is with every revolution. It is always dependent upon the development of conditions. The revolution is only the seal on a preceding evolution in men's minds. And it may require many so-called "revolutions" to carry out a thorough reform successfully.

In our opinion, those who would advise street riots and insurrections would be guilty of a crime against the laboring class, especially in view of the perfection of modern instruments of murder and the helpless condition of the workers. An appeal to arms (especially without having any arms) is more than foolish. And he must surely be suffering from hardness or softening of the brain who expects a readjustment of our present conditions from the "propaganda of the deed," that is, from bombs and terrorism.

We are most decidedly in favor of the ballot and a propaganda of education, and we must have a great many ballots and a great deal of education.

However, we must not forget that all nations which have revolutionized existing conditions have been combatants, that is, they have been armed.

Such was decidedly the case in the time of the reformation and during the English revolution.

In France indeed the people were poorly armed at first, till they plundered the state arsenals on the morning of July 14, 1789, and took 28,000 guns and cartridges. But in the first place, the French aristocracy was perfectly rotten and no longer capable of resistance, and secondly, the regular French troops fraternized with the people from the very beginning of the Revolution.

Moreover, history teaches us that an armed people has always been a free people. There has never been a plainer example of this than the Boers. Tyrants and usurpers therefore have always taken care to disarm the people, and the English will no doubt do the same thing in South Africa if the great "world empire" ever should succeed in subduing what is left of the 30,000 peaceful Dutch farmers—a little nation that learned how to use the shotgun. Whenever one nation or one class comes under the yoke of another, the conquered nation or conquered class is always disarmed, and rendered non-combatant.

The founders of our constitution well understood and considered all this, and therefore inserted the following clause in the constitution of the United States:

"A well-regulated militia being necessary to the security of a free state, the right of the people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed."—Amendment II.

This clause was placed in the constitution expressly for the purpose of giving the people an opportunity to defend their freedom.

In the debate upon this clause it was insisted that such a right must be reserved for the people to guard them eventually against usurpers in our country.

It goes without saying, that the founders of this republic never even dreamed of such a militia as ours is today—the arming of clerks and toads to hold in check the great mass of the people for the benefit of a few money bags. In those days (1783-89) there was no more a plutocracy than a proletariat in this country. Conditions were then entirely different.

But although the fathers of our republic took such anxious pains to create a "nation in arms," yet today there is scarcely any other folk in the world (except probably the Chinese or Russians) so radically disarmed, so totally without weapons as the mass of the American workmen. In Germany and France almost every man is a soldier, almost every man is thus at one time of his life an ARMED man. This imprints a certain stamp upon the people. However severely MILITARISM should be condemned, it has at least this ONE GOOD SIDE, that besides discipline, it gives the man a certain self-confidence and teaches him the use of a gun. Neither the French nor the German government would dare to do such things as our coal barons in Pennsylvania and Illinois, or the street car magnates during strikes. On the other hand, the workmen of Europe are much too wise and too well-disciplined to attempt street revolutions or riots.

To those who are afraid to trust the people with fire arms the example of Switzerland proves most clearly that a general arming of the people would by no means result in a "revolution." In Switzerland every citizen is a soldier and owns his own weapon and keeps it at home. The government teaches the people the use of arms for reasons of state. Although the Swiss workmen are by no means better situated materially than their American brothers, and although the Swiss bourgeoisie sometimes carries on regular baiting against labor agitators, we hear nothing of revolutions or dangerous insurrections in Switzerland. There is a great deal less rioting in Switzerland than either in America or in Russia, where people are totally disarmed.

On the contrary, if the social question is settled in any country without spilling a drop of blood, that country will be Switzerland. And one thing is certain, Swiss owners of factories or mills would never dare to have their workmen shot down in cold blood like wild beasts, by hired constables, for such a course would probably result in a bloody revolution. When there was a general railroad strike in Switzerland in 1897 the nation simply BOUGHT THE ROADS and settled the strike. Please compare this method with the American mode of procedure during the Debs strike in 1894.

There can be no question about it—the general disarming of the people has contributed very considerably to their enslavement. We are obliged to fear our "government" far more than the Montenegrins, Arabs and other half-barbarous races fear theirs. And yet, in accordance with progress, our higher civilization, our higher culture, ought to make us only so much the freer.

Our ruling class indeed knows better how to value the advantage of arms. Not only are barracks erected in the neighborhood of all the large cities, not only is the militia limited to a comparatively few regiments, recruited from the "better" class, instead of arming all the people as in Switzerland—but even in church and school the middle class and their children are taught to hate and abhor the so-called "dangerous classes."

This is called teaching "patriotism."

And although certain capitalistic papers tell us every day that there are no "classes" in the United States, but only American citizens; the text-book of the Constitution by A. D. Wright, which is used in the public schools of Milwaukee, says the following on page 267:

"We are fortunate in being protected by the ocean from foreign foes, but there is danger of civil wars, and of mob violence from the DANGEROUS CLASSES of our population, and for these contingencies we need an efficient militia system, such as we have not now."

So in this book the existence of dangerous classes of the population, threatening civil war and mob rule, is officially taught the children as instruction in a text-book or constitution, and in a country where the ballot is supposed to be almighty and the vote of the DANGEROUS CLASSES is theoretically supposed to have the power to change the constitution and the militia and everything else at any time, if composing the majority, which it undoubtedly does.

What are really the dangerous classes, the following dispatch from the coker region, which we clipped during the strike period in 1896, further explains:

"In expectation of an outbreak (in consequence of the importation of new bands) all the manufacturers are making preparations. Twenty-five horses arrived yesterday for W. J. Bailey, and tomorrow he will have as many men in the saddle. He has also a machine-gun which can shoot over 1,000 shots a minute, and is accompanied by cavalry. With his mounted police he can reach any one of his works from Moyer in less than one hour. Yesterday evening he received a carload of able-bodied men in Moyer."

Of course these able-bodied and well-armed men were avowed in as deputy sheriffs in a body, so that they were officers of justice.

The working people of America, on the other hand, are at present even more defenseless than were the Helots compared with the Spartans in ancient Lacedaemon. Our young lords in Pennsylvania and Illinois are at liberty to arrange slave-hunts now and then just like the young lords of old in Sparta.

How this defenseless condition may be remedied at least partially (in our humble opinion) we will discuss in our concluding article.

The House of Representatives of the Fifty-seventh Congress is now composed of 357 members and four delegates from the territories. Of the members 197 are Republicans, 152 Democrats and 8 are classified as either Fusionists or Silverites. They will caucus with the Democrats, however, and when there is a division on party lines will vote with them, so that the Republican majority will be thirty-seven. Senator Heitfeld, the last Populist in the Senate, has also joined the Democrats for better or worse, and this marks the entire disappearance of the Populists from the Congress of the United States.

A London dispatch states that William Waldorf Astor, the American multi-millionaire who expatriated himself and became an Englishman, is still far from being received in the bosom of John Bull's family. When Battle Abbey was sold at auction on Tuesday for \$1,000,000, Mr. Astor was reported to be the purchaser. He denied this, and with significant unanimity the editors expressed satisfaction that Battle Abbey had been purchased by "an Englishman."

We cannot understand this as Astor is a very wealthy man and as thorough and vulgar a snob as the greatest of British lords. There is evidently a little of the old antagonism and hatred between Feudalism and Capitalism left even now in England—where the "nobility" has had longer and more intimate relations with the bourgeoisie than in any other country in Europe.

"The constant course of the natural law of history admits of no sudden jumps and no unpremeditated adventures," says the German Socialist writer, Karl Kautsky, very justly. Of course we understand very well that the question whether this or that person is right, whether this or that platform is correct or not, whether this or the other prophecy of the future is most likely to be realized, has no importance for the cause of Socialism, so long as a large majority of the workingmen themselves are indifferent to this movement and some of them are even unfriendly. But just for this reason we must insist on continuing to stand upon the foundation of bare facts. We should be fools if we amused ourselves with chattering over an economic coalescence of faith, while we let our hands lie in our laps and waited for the arrival of the millennium. The millennium will never come; for centuries men have fought, worked and suffered for it, and they will fight, work and suffer for it many centuries longer. Scientific Socialists have only to do with present actual conditions; only with these have we to reckon, precisely because we wish to transform these conditions from top to bottom.

What stock keeper dare be truthful? Read the advertisements of bogus fire, bankrupt and half-price sales. Was \$5, now \$1.24. The others do it, hence competition forces all of them to meet it, or get out.

Answering A Query.

Mr. Editor: You have great hopes of seeing a great deal of Socialism introduced into our general mode of life within the near future, but I believe if the workingmen are to introduce it, it's a mighty long way off yet. Look how the white and black toads are pumping lead into each other down South. And then turn East, West or North, and see the workers there cutting the liver out of each other every chance they get. Should even that fail to convince you, then take the vote for Eugene V. Debs at the last national election and figure out, if you can, when the millennium is going to appear. May be you are right when you constantly preach to vote for Socialism on every occasion, but I know that you are more right when you urge the people to prepare to be able to shoot for it. But pray, tell me, how long it will take in your opinion before the ill-feeling between the workers of this country will be overcome, and they can be got to act in a friendly manner?

Emporia, Kansas, Dec. 7.

You want to know how long in our opinion it will take the workers to find out just where the above is pinching him? Well—in the editor's opinion—with the vast majority, that depends upon their powers of endurance. As long as the average workingman can satisfy the cravings of his stomach he does not seem to care much how the world is going on. But when the ways of the world interfere with his three meals a day he generally wants to know all about it. During so-called "good times" it is as a rule only the most intelligent and best-paid workingman who bothers his head about the future and who makes propaganda for Socialism.

Do not imagine though for a moment that the workingman is the only one who desires a change and who is interested in the cause of Socialism. The small manufacturers and employers of all kinds all over the country expect a great change in our social system in the near future and are waiting for it longingly—in spite of our so-called prosperity.

Thinking men of all classes realize that things cannot much longer continue as they are. The majority of them see themselves crowded out by trusts, combines and department stores, and they know that their days as independent successful men are numbered. As yet they are frantically engaged in trying to save themselves, and can devote but little time to the study of economic questions; but it is clear that pretty soon they will have all the time they need. Now members

of this very class will become the best and bravest support of the struggle for a new order.

They are educated and energetic, and all of them in a greater or less degree have enjoyed such privileges as wealth can secure. These are the men who will positively refuse to be the slaves of the plutocratic class.

The man who was born and bred a wage-slave does not find it nearly as hard to work for wages as the man who at one time or other was an independent man. He feels it most. Easy circumstances have enabled him to cultivate his taste for art, leisure, etc., and have increased his wants. Now you cannot deceive a man like that with issues like imperialism, free trade, high tariff, etc.

The experience of his whole life is against it.

As to the ballot: It will not always work as slowly as now. The ballot is a very important weapon—by far the most important at present. But that may not always be so, and at any rate is a high moral principle to teach the young idea how to shoot.

Yet, after all, the proletarian, the wage workers, will have to furnish the bulk of the fighters. And while in our quick-living epoch we may press the history of a century into a decade—it will require time for further economic development of the capitalistic system as well as for the education and enlightenment of the workingmen. Then the ill-feeling among the laborers themselves will by necessity vanish. Still, the editor must decline to state a definite period when all that is going to happen. But fortunately we do not need "angels" or "angelic men" to introduce Socialistic measures and lead this system over to Socialism. On the contrary, judging from our opponents on the capitalistic side, it will be decided advantage if our people will have quite a little of the devil in them. There will be time enough to become "angelic" when conditions and circumstances make that kind of men and women possible, i.e., under Socialism.

Now there are 4,500,000 people and only 36,700 outdoor paupers, while those indoor have increased to 62,500.

Pauperism is not nearly as bad in this country—nor the English trades unions have scarcely no reason to brag of their great accomplishments for the wellbeing of aged toilers.

Comrades Tillet, who is a famous English Socialist, spoke of the splendid work that the Miners' federation, composed of a half million men, was doing in England and Scotland. He advocated the municipalization and nationalization of all public utilities, and said that the force that is now doing more for labor than any other is the intellectual force of Socialism. He emphasized the importance of liberal contributions of money to help labor in its present struggle for better conditions, and differed with President Gompers on the question of compulsory arbitration.

Our Milwaukee preachers—by the way, a sorry lot of slicks—have had some experience in this line.

It was on Friday last week that Mayor David S. Rose met the ministers of the committee on civic righteousness of the anti-vice crusade, Revs. L. H. Keller, E. A. Cutler and Henry Colman, at his office. They said the city was run "wide open," and banded in a petition asking a number of arrests.

Tillet is right, no doubt. The trouble is only that there is not enough of an English democracy to count for anything.

The great majority of the English union men do not share Tillet's views on that point, we are sorry to say.

David S. Rose, the mayor of Milwaukee, may not be and is not the greatest mayor Milwaukee ever had, but he surely is the most slippery. In fact he is at least as slippery as the greased pigs he or his assistants are accustomed to give to the populace at bridge openings, together with speeches that have the same high standard.

Our Milwaukee preachers—by the way, a sorry lot of slicks—have had some experience in this line.

It was on Friday last week that Mayor David S. Rose met the ministers of the committee on civic righteousness of the anti-vice crusade, Revs. L. H. Keller, E. A. Cutler and Henry Colman, at his office. They said the city was run "wide open," and banded in a petition asking a number of arrests.

Mr. Colman—Other things that I have come from officials—some of them—about the girls.

Mayor Rose—Whoever told you that is a liar.

Now we do not want to trouble our readers with more of the conversation—this sample ought to be sufficient.

But it is of the highest interest to thinking people of this city and of the country that the mayor of a large city—a man who has been seriously mentioned all over the country as a running mate for Bryan—has bluntly admitted that laws against prostitution cannot be enforced under the present system.

We do not want to go into details—in fact

WE KNOW that a great deal could be done against the "stall saloon" evil—according to the preachers there are 116 stall saloons in our city. And yet this city claims to be, and is proven by statistics "one of the most orderly of the large cities in the United States."

Gambling and many other evils could also easily be stopped. But we do not intend to speak for the preachers and bourgeois "reformers," who are the sorriest and most insincere lot of people on earth.

But to every thinking man and woman we will say this:

It is true, under the capitalistic system this and other evils cannot be eradicated because they are INNATE in the system itself. But for that very reason

we declare: Put the Social Democratic party into power and you will see this and other evils DISAPPEAR ENTIRELY at the same ratio as the sphere of Socialism and the power of Socialists grow in this country. There is only one moral to all this: Work for Socialism!

There were two applicants for a store position. One was a thief who thought,

"There is no cash register, the boss looks stupid, I am sure I can tap the till \$6 a week."

The other was an honest man. Both had families costing \$9 weekly.

The thief offered to work for \$5, the other wanted \$8. Competition gave the \$5 (plus 6 equals \$11) minus the job, and will starve all the honesty out of the other or kill him.

Two young women applied for work.

The prospective employer winked at both, the one was employed who returned the wink. Competition condemns the other to starve until she learns to wink.

Send us ten new subscribers and receive free one of those handsome \$2 rascars.

Watchdogs of the Railroads

The present "brotherhoods" are very useful to the railroad companies. They hurry the dead and act watch over the living. They have officers whose pockets bulge with annual fees from their friends and co-workers, the railway managers. They are landed by capitalist politicians, have great pull with capitalist office-holders and enjoy the distinguished consideration of the capitalist press. They are nursed and coddled by the railroads for the good they are doing—the railroads. They all have a grand (?) master (!). A plain master would not do. He must be titled and decorated so he can be pointed out with pride by his subjects.

These "brotherhoods" claim the divine right to rule railway employees, and the companies back them up in it. A few cases in point may be cited: In 1891 the "grand" officers of said brotherhoods joined the officials of the Atlantic & Pacific Railway company in wiping the new Brotherhood of Railway employees off that system, the latter being composed of men who had grown tired of the old rule of submission and subservience.

In 1892 the grand officers of the B. & R. T. united with the officials of the Chicago & North-Western railway in discharging over 400 switchmen, members of the old Switchmen's union, and then filling the places of the decapitated switchmen. This sweet-scented job bursted the then existing "federation" of railway employees, to the relief and delight of the railway managers. This put the B. & R. T. in great favor with the railroads and it has stood ace high with them ever since.

In the Great Northern strike the grand officers were promptly on the ground and helped President "Jim" Hill all they could to beat the strikers, but the A. R. U. was too strong for them and licked the whole combination.

What happened in the great Pullman strike is still fresh in the memory. The "grand" officers were the faithful allies of the railroads and contributed nobly to the defeat of the strikers.

In the past few days Clark of the Conductors and Morrissey of the Trainmen helped the Denver & Rio Grande to knock out its switchmen, destroy their union and make them nice and obedient to their masters. This job completed, Morrissey rushed frantic across the

SOCIAL DEMOCRATIC HERALD.

Social Democratic Herald

PUBLISHED EVERY SATURDAY BY THE

SOCIAL DEMOCRATIC PUBLISHING CO.,

644 STATE STREET,

MILWAUKEE, - - WISCONSIN.

Telephone 225 Black.

EDITORS:

VICTOR L. BECKER. A. S. EDWARD.

Official Paper of the Federated Trade Council
of Milwaukee and of the Wisconsin State Federation
of Labor.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.—One year, 50 cents.
Six months, 25 cents. No papers sent to any one
on credit. If, without having subscribed, you
receive it, then it has been subscribed by a
friend and as well will follow. Foreign subscrip-
tions \$1.00.

176 is the number of this paper. If the
number on your wrapper is 177
your subscription expires with the
last week's paper. Please renew
promptly.

Entered at Milwaukee Post-office as Second-class
Matter, Aug. 20, 1901.

MILWAUKEE, SATURDAY, DEC. 14, 1901.

HERALDRIES.

It is practically settled that the Chinese exclusion law will be re-enacted by Congress by almost a unanimous vote of both branches.

The trust, as a method of using the machinery of production and distribution, is not the finished product of evolution, any more than the machine is. The perfection of the trust will come only with Socialism.

In the competitive system 10 per cent. of those who attempt business succeed; 40 per cent. vegetate and 50 per cent. fail absolutely. How much "incentive" can be extracted from these figures we leave for others to decide.

Mark Hanna calling upon the working class for aid to his ship subsidy scheme or plunder is a spectacle to make all the gods laugh. But the dull and feather-headed workmen in some industries look upon Hanna's scheme with perfect sobriety—and gullibility.

Socialism would remove the evil existing in society by removing the cause. It would sweeten the stream at which society is drinking by purifying the fountain, rather than by spasmodic and inadequate attempts to filter the water along the current.

The \$400,000,000 Northern Securities company will side-step the difficulties that Gov. Van Sant is putting up against it by making its home office in Jersey City and doing business on the basis of a citizen of New Jersey. It's a dismal day, indeed, when a governor gets ahead of a corporation.

Roosevelt's message is a disappointment to the radicals and reformers who have regarded him as one of themselves, because of his "record." To Socialists it is nothing but a capitalist document. If ever there was a time when Socialists saw things with other than capitalist eyes, it is not now. O no, Socialists are not a bit surprised.

Although Georgia has a larger negro population than any other state in the Union, a bill looking toward negro disfranchisement has just been overwhelmingly defeated in the lower house of the state Legislature, receiving only 17 votes out of a membership of 175. A similar measure failed two years ago.

The court painter of St. Petersburg has been engaged to make a portrait of His Majesty Theodore I. of the United States. If there are a few more court habits that can be conveniently engrained upon our simple republican institutions they will no doubt find encouragement under Theodore's administration.

When nature lets loose an inventor whose discoveries brush aside old ways of doing things and institutes new ways, notice is always served on the existing industrial order that it is doomed. Mechanics compel progress and necessitate Socialism. The welfare of mankind pivots on mechanics.

A workingman who served an apprenticeship to learn a trade and after working at it for twenty years finds a machine of iron and steel, operated by a boy or a girl at 60 cents a day, doing the work he learned to do and doing it better, ought to have some ideas on the labor question.

The fact that the busy bees in the hives of industry are discovering, through the Socialist propaganda, that the more industrious they are the more honey they are making for the owners of the hive, inevitably forces the capitalist class to resort to intimidation and class-conscious action to keep the industrial bees in ignorance and subjection.

In an address on "True Democracy" to the Graduate's club at Harvard Dr. Lyman Abbott said he inclined to the opinion that the United States should buy up all the big trusts and operate them for the benefit of the people. Dr. Abbott is a good deal of a wobbler, but it was quite impossible for him to conceive of a "true democracy" without providing for the social management of industry.

The suppression of Socialist papers will not stop Socialism; it will not stop the propaganda. There will be found as much ingenuity among American Socialists to advance their cause as has been elsewhere demonstrated. The growth of Socialist sentiment and development of economic conditions essential to its triumph is an irresistible movement.

against which the powers of a capitalist-controlled postoffice cannot prevail.

Ten schools in Armstrong county, Pa., have been closed. The teachers have pocketed the keys of the little red schoolhouses and vigorously declare that the tree of knowledge shall no longer bear fruit in their several communities until the little matter of equalization of wages has been satisfactorily settled by the school board. The minuscule sum of \$30 a month is the standard allowed for the services of persons experienced as pedagogues.

The annual report of the Mergenthaler Linotype company for the year ended October 1 shows that the net profit from the year's business was \$2,083,032, as against \$1,019,165 in the previous year, and \$1,627,000 in 1899. There were on rental at the close of the year 986 machines, against 1,068 the previous year, the rental value being \$585,333, as against \$567,645 in the preceding year. The factory delivered during the year 641 machines.

Representatives of the Illinois State Federation of Woman's clubs found on investigation that children employed in the cellar cigar factories of Chicago worked from 7 a. m. to 7 p. m. and could make with hard work 50 cents a day. Many of the children were from 11 to 12 years of age. The odor from the paste used in making cigars was so sickening that the women rushed out into the open air for relief. "Long may our land be bright with freedom's holy light; protect us by thy might, great God, our King!"

In Chicago a movement has been started to provide a home in the country for city boys who have no home. At one of the meetings held for this purpose one of the speakers was a national bank president. He said he was "puzzled to understand why it was that we had waited till the Twentieth century before thinking of our duty to the boys." How very good that sounds! If the marvelous mechanical progress of the Nineteenth century had been marked by justice to the fathers of these boys and duty to satisfy at large, there would be no need for the predicament in which national bank operators and other parasites now find themselves.

As fast as steam can carry them, Mr. and Mrs. Leonard Wainwright and their big white cat are traveling to Florida for the winter, as Tom, the cat, has symptoms of tuberculosis, and the cat doctor says he must have a change of climate. It required two weeks of pleading with the railroad and transportation companies, intermingled with tears, persuasions and threats, to secure comfortable accommodations for Tom.

Compile the foregoing item with the fact that at one time recently in a New York park 1,500 homeless men were found sleeping on the ground, and you get a good idea of the relative position of men and tom-cats in a society based on robbery of labor.

In a recent decision Chief Justice Grimmeire of the Supreme court of New Jersey enunciated the following capitalist doctrine:

"The life of a child of 5 is worth to its parents from 6 cents to \$1."

"The death of a laboring man making \$1.50 a day is not worth as much as the death of a millionaire."

"The pecuniary value of a child is not fixed by law. As a general rule it is merely nominal."

"The law does not consider sentiment. It is purely a matter of money."

So far as we know this is the lowest quotation the market has ever shewn on the life of a human being. "The pecuniary value of a child is not fixed by law!" Nu; neither is it fixed by religion. The economic system decides that point.

We have before us an exact copy of the employment contract used by the packing firm of Swift & Co., Chicago. In it we find that this "contract," when signed by a wage slave, is "to continue as long as satisfactory to said party of the first part"—that is, to Swift & Co. It further provides that "as a guarantee for the faithful performance of this agreement" Swift & Co. shall retain an amount agreed upon (but fixed by Swift & Co.) as "liquidated damages" to satisfy any damages the party of the first part may sustain. This sort of thing is called "freedom of contract." All the terms are fixed by the "party of the first part," and the only part in the business—the "party of the second part" has to submit or starve. Yet it is "freedom of contract" and nerves to quiet the conscience of Christian capitalists.

Last year the municipality of Berlin derived a profit of more than \$3,000,000 from the city gas works, which represent an investment of about \$15,000,000. Much of the profit came from such by-products as coke, tar, ammonium—in fact it may be said, that the entire expense of maintaining the gas works was paid from the manufacture and sale of these articles, so that the direct receipts from gas were almost clear gain. But the Germans manage such distinctions much more economically than can be done in the United States. They have an odd notion that it is the duty of every citizen to protect the public treasury, and the city government buy supplies and obtain labor at a cost much less than would be required of private corporations. Unfortunately with us the conditions are reversed. The best of us think it is our duty to get ahead of the government if we can.

"I have noticed," said the Observant Foreigner, who was not writing a book about America, "that according to your newspapers, your best citizens are always to be found in large numbers at three places."

"Where?" we asked, being mildly curious.

"At political rallies, prize fights and lynchings."

Baltimore American.

* A Case of Champagne. *

The proceedings of the postoffice department against certain publications and their exclusion from the privilege of second-class rates have, as their professed object, the cutting down of the deficit and more economical administration of the department. Notwithstanding the attitude of the postal authorities, it is probably true that persons in the direct employment of the government are responsible for greater abuses of the post-office privileges than are the publishers of the country. One single example illustrates the character of these abuses: Senator Mason of Illinois delivered a speech last session in the Senate upon his pure food investigation, in which he made an assertion that appealed to a champagne firm as advertising. The firm is sending out thousands of copies of this speech under Senator Mason's frank. When asked whether he had authorized the use of his frank for this purpose Mr. Mason stated that he had. Mr. Mason explained that the firm had informed him that it desired to send broadcast a large number of copies of the speech for the advertising it contained, and asked him to send them postage.

To "save" this firm "postage" Senator Mason did not hesitate to send out with his frank "thousands of copies" of the speech for "advertising" purposes. What was the senator's incentive? Was it a few cases of champagne deposited in the cellar of his Chicago home? Perish the thought! Mason is a Republican and a patriot.

* Roosevelt's Ruse. *

"It is not true that as the rich have grown richer the poor have grown poorer," says Roosevelt in his contradictory message to the capitalist world. But it is an ascertained and irrefutable truth that never before in the history of the country has the distribution of wealth been more inequitable than now. The working class, in proportion to their productive capacity in alliance with the modern facilities of production, never received so little as now and the rich never received so much. Labor in the United States at this moment is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can he explain how the workers' share in the product can constantly diminish, as it has since 1850, and the rich increase so much. Labor in the United States is receiving less of the fruits of its toil than ever before. But to have said this would have been to place Roosevelt outside the ranks of the conservative, and this he knew. Why did not the President take governmental statistics for his guidance on this question? Can

SOCIALIST PARTY NEWS.

LABORING MEN'S VICTORY ON THE SOCIALIST TICKET.

**Lockout by a Corporation Results in
Socialists Capturing Nearly All
Public Offices in a Wash-
ington Town.**

You will be pleased to hear of a Socialist victory out here in Washington. What is known as Northport is a place of about 2000 inhabitants, but only a small portion of the people live in the incorporated portion. The Northport Mining and Smelting works are situated here and is a central point for the Nelson and Fort Shepard railroad going to Nelson, B. C., and for the Red Mountain road to Rossland, B. C., and the Spokane Falls and Northern going to Spokane. It is the center of quite a mining district.

About eight months ago the manager of the smelting company put forth the effect that all men belonging to the union must quit their union or quit the works. In short, they were locked out and thereupon a strike was declared against the company. The union men have all stood solid, but the company brought men from Joplin, Mo., and have kept the works going, but it is said at great loss. During these eight months these men or rather, a large part of them, have been induced to join the Socialist party and they are studying and discussing economic questions. They concluded it was time to go into politics and joined in placing a ticket in the field. No sooner did they do this than the Democratic Republicans, Progressives, and a few so-called "Socialists" joined in nominating an opposing ticket under the name "Citizens ticket." The fight was brought on December 3 and every man on the Socialist ticket but one was elected. Every man on the Socialist ticket was a laborer except one who had for his occupation accountant. The manager of the Citizens' ticket declared that the laboring men had no right to enter politics and put up a ticket of their own class. The business men and merchants even went so far as to tell the comrades that they must either quit politics or they, the merchants and business men, would quit them. The men kept to their guns and elected their men with majorities ranging from 10 to 15.

We elected the following public officers: Mayor, councilman-at-large, three councilmen, city clerk, city treasurer and health officer. Comrade George Stillinger was elected mayor.

J. C. HARKNESS.

Preparing for Convention.

A meeting of the committee appointed by the Central committee to arrange for a convention of the party in the city was held last Sunday morning at 318 State street. Comrade W. Rehfeld was elected chairman and N. Andersen secretary. A committee of five was appointed to draw up a call for the convention consisting of Comrades V. L. Berger, P. Siegel, E. H. Rooney, G. Hollaback and N. Andersen.

Comrades E. H. Rooney, H. Harhich and J. Grundman were appointed to secure a hall.

It was further decided that we hold the convention in or about the third week in January, and that if it can be done under the law, the labor unions should be invited.

The full committee will meet again next Sunday morning at 318 State street and receive and act upon the reports of the various committees.

GENERAL NOTES.

Socialists of Colorado will hold state convention at Denver on December 29.

The vote of Nebraska for the Socialist party this fall was 2007, against 823 a year ago.

Socialists of Oklahoma will hold territorial convention at Kingfisher on December 27.

Summer F. Clafin has been elected national committeeman from New Hampshire.

The final and official return from Massachusetts show for the Socialist party

an average vote for the five candidates on state ticket of 12,007 and for the S. L. P., 8573. Comrade Bradley's vote for governor last year was 13,200; S. L. P., 8784.

The comrades who have seen those razors we are offering free for ten subscribers want them.

In Boston the two old parties combined on a candidate for sheriff, and as a result Patrick Mahoney, the Socialist party candidate, received 13,403 votes.

In the mayoralty contest at Haverhill, Mass., Poor (Republican-Democratic candidate), was re-elected. Parkman B. Flanders, Socialist, received 2341.

Many of the applications for charters in Colorado contain the names of women, which is significant in view of the fact that women are permitted to vote in that state.

Kansas state convention located headquarters at Abilene, with J. D. Haskell for secretary-treasurer; W. C. Benton organizer, and W. T. Mills member of national committee.

While the third number of The Comrade is somewhat better than the second, it is not up to the standard of the initial number, either from an artistic or literary point of view.

Charters were issued December 6 to Baltimore, Md.; Little Rock, Ark.; Burns, Ark.; Golde, Col.; Idaho Falls, Idaho; Angus, Minn.; Cedar City, Utah; Magnolia, Idaho; Richmond, Va.; Salt Lake, Utah; Norfolk, Va.

A subscription for one year for The Herald has been received from Fort Logan, Mont., in an unsigned letter dated December 4. Will the party sending the same forward his name so that we can place it on the list?

Comrade A. M. Simons has returned to editorial work on the Workers' Call, which doubtless explains the frazzled appearance and marked deterioration of the December number of the International Socialist Review. If Simons will now cut out the Untermaier cartoons from the Call he will do the movement a real service.

Wisconsin Notes.

Comrade E. H. Thomas will be the lecturer at the regular Sunday night meeting at Kaiser's hall, December 15.

We are offering free for ten new subscribers a fine Two Dollar Razor. Just what you want, easy to get.

Dr. H. C. Berger gave his lecture on "Crime and Its Origin" at a meeting held by Branch 43, Thursday evening.

The Socialist Maennerchor will rehearse during the winter for a grand concert to be given on the second Saturday of next April at Liedertafel hall.

There was no Sunday night lecture last Sunday owing to the entertainment. The course will be resumed tomorrow night (December 13) and will continue without further interruption through the winter.

There will be a meeting at Meixner's hall, corner of Twenty-seventh and Vilas streets, Tuesday evening, December 17, for the purpose of organizing a club of Social Democrats in the Nineteenth ward. All Socialists in the ward are earnestly invited to attend.

It is expected that Comrade Corinne S. Brown will visit several places in Calumet and Manitowoc counties at an early date to speak and organize. Branches desiring to make appointments for Mrs. Brown may communicate with this office or E. G. Lindner, Kiel, Wis.

We regret to announce the death of Comrade Arthur J. Wellis, a member of Branch 43 of this city. He was a faithful worker in the party and respected by all who knew him. Appropriate resolutions were adopted by the branch and the local charter ordered draped for thirty days.

The entertainment and ball given at the party auspices at Bahn Frei Turner hall last Sunday was a success. The attendance filled the large hall to overflowing and the programme, particularly the singing of the Socialist Maennerchor, accompanied by Bach's orchestra, was thoroughly enjoyed. The young people danced up to a late hour. The committee in charge expect to report a handsome surplus, notwithstanding the heavy expense incurred.

News of the Labor Movement Throughout the World.

the Miners' Federation, composed of a million men, was doing in England and Scotland.

Mr. Chandler referred to the benefits of state unionism and advocated old age pensions. He also advocated high assessments in the unions.

Among the resolutions introduced was one requesting members of unions not to join the militia in any state.

Miss Harriet A. Keyser, secretary of the Church Association for the Advancement of the Interests of Labor, said her organization had adopted the union label and would not deal in any goods that were not so labeled.

Trades Unions and Labor News.

The metal polishers voted down the question of "autonomy" and severance from brassworkers.

Philadelphia unionists are discussing the question of taking independent political action.

New York printers expect trouble on January 1. About 3000 of them want an increase of wages.

The Amalgamated Association of Iron and Steel workers spent, in round numbers, \$5,000 during the great steel strike.

Farm laborers now have thirty-three unions in the country and met in Edwardsville, Ill., to form a national organization.

The billion-dollar steel trust has organized a separate bureau to control 50,000 acres of soft coal and is going into the mining business on a large scale.

Representatives of the brewery workers and the organized bosses held a conference in Washington and discussed the question of adopting a uniform wage scale and working hours.

Among the bills passed by the Philadelphia Select council on the 21st inst. was one requiring city contractors to employ none but first-class workmen and at the rate of wages paid organized labor.

Delegate Dietrich of Washington, D. C., presented a resolution in the American Federation convention protesting against subsidy legislation by Congress and another resolution called for the ap-

pointment of a committee to draft a memorial to Congress to prohibit the granting of injunctions against organized labor.

Though having lost their strike two weeks ago the switchmen and freight handlers of the New York, New Haven & Hartford had their hours of labor reduced from ten to eight per day.

The proposed joint meeting of miners and West Virginia operators failed to materialize, the latter not putting in an appearance, and now there are more threats of a strike.

In the A. F. of L. convention Max Hayes of Cleveland introduced a resolution providing for the admittance of minors, under 18 years of age, into unions at a reduced per capita tax.

The coal miners of British Columbia are greatly perturbed by indications that a large number of Finn laborers are to be imported and put to work by the Dunsmuir's in the extension of the Welland coal mines at Nanaimo.

The National Glass company announced that it has abandoned its plants at Findlay, O., and Summitville, Ind. Each plant employs 100 hands. The work is to be done at the company's new plant at Cambridge, O.

The state printing of Texas will be done in union offices, E. S. Hughes, a staunch union man, having been elected secretary of the state printing board, defeating C. E. Gilbert, an autounionist, for the position.

The roll call showed all officers present.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read, and were followed by a lively discussion.

National Organized R. E. McLean took the floor to reply to a statement contained in the record to the effect that he had been severely criticized at the previous meeting, and stated that in such case it might have been after he had left the meeting, and that such tactics were very unfair; that if any fault was to be found and any charges preferred it should be done to his face and he be given a chance to defend himself.

Business Agent Andersen replied that such was not the case; that he had criticized Brother McLean in his report, and that Brother McLean was present at the time, but had not then seen fit to reply.

Various delegates denounced the work the organizer was doing in this vicinity, claiming that he brought disension into the local labor movement; that local organizers were able to do better work than he, and that the American Federation of Labor ought to send organizers to other localities where they were needed more than here. To this Brother McLean replied that organizers were needed here, as Milwaukee was not well organized, and that a large number of unions appeared to be asleep or half dead. He also stated that he had been largely instrumental in inducing several organizations to send delegates to the Federated Trades Council, and cited the case of Painters' Union No. 222.

Delegates from Painters' Union No. 150 declared that the reason No. 222 sent delegates was that they needed the working cards of the Building Trades section, without which they could not secure work in the breweries, to which one of the delegates from No. 222 replied that they did not intend to go into the Building Trades section and did not want the "red card."

On this point the chair ruled that the several organizations must join the respective sections if such were in existence.

After some further discussion, it was moved and seconded that the corresponding secretary report to headquarters the results of Organizer McLean's efforts to "promote harmony" and cite tonight's discussion as a sample. Carried.

On motion the minutes of the previous meeting were then approved as read. Credentials for delegates from five different unions were read, and, being favorably reported on by the committee on organization and credentials, the same were received and the delegates obligated and seated.

The report of Business Agent Andersen was then submitted. It was a clear and exhaustive summary of the work done by the business agent since the last regular meeting, and showed that the free registration bureau for the unemployed was doing a great deal of good.

The Label section presented a resolution condemning the action of Postmaster Stellman in declining to arbitrate the matter of the discharge of several employees of the North-Western Straw Works and recommending that the concern be placed on the unfair list; also, that the business agent be instructed to assist the Straw and Felt Hat Workers' Union to bring its struggle to a successful termination.

On motion the resolution was endorsed.

A communication from the Dayton Central Trades council stated that the Iron Molders had also placed the "National Cash Register" on the unfair list. Printing Pressmen's Union, No. 7, of this city, presented resolutions protesting against alleged expenditure of the funds of the council in aid of the Social Democratic party, and threatening to withdraw its delegates by January 1, 1902, if such practices were continued.

A motion prevailed to request said union to bring proof as to when such alleged expenditures were made.

A delegation from Bay View Lodge, No. 3, of the Amalgamated Association of Iron, Steel and Tin Workers, headed by M. J. Kelly, the former secretary of the lodge, were present and presented a list of the unions who had contributed to their strike fund. A total of \$290 had been realized up to date, and had been distributed among the needy and deserving members, a list of whom was also turned over to the council, with a request that a thorough investigation be made in order to give the lie to charges made in some of the daily papers.

Mr. Kelly again took the floor to say that the charge that he was a disorganizer was malicious. The Bay View Lodge had 128 members when he came to Milwaukee, whilst the last report he sent to headquarters as secretary showed a membership of 217.

The executive board and organization committee jointly recommended that unions requiring the services of the business agent pay to the council the sum of 20 cents per hour, this being one-half of the regular rate for committee work.

On motion the recommendation was concurred in.

Comrade Eugene H. Rooney made a motion that the council issue a letter of recommendation to Brother Kelly and his colleagues at Bay View, as it was their intention to seek employment in some other city.

The motion, being duly seconded, was carried.

After some routine business the council adjourned.

Mrs. Florence Kelley, ex-factory inspector in the state of Illinois and now secretary of the Consumers' League of America, spoke on the subject of "Experiments in Applied Economics" before the university students at the winter convocation at Madison, Wis. She touched on factory laws of the different countries and the work of the Consumers' League in a way that showed a thorough knowledge of the subjects.

In communications to their official journal cigar-makers of Tampa, Fla., recently dispatched, recently sent out to the effect that the cigar trust has forced independent manufacturers to run open factories and break signed agreements with the international union. It is also stated that the bosses have been attempting to play the union against the Rosolencia, the independent organization of Cuban workers, with the hope of destroying both.

Speaking of Edison's new storage battery, an expert machinist says, in the Machinists' Journal, that "it makes possible the electric wagon or truck, the commercial automobile, the airship, the electrically propelled steamship, torpedo boat and ferryboat; and inexpensive electric machinery of all kinds. It will bring about the noiseless city."

An Englishman has invented a process for treating China grass, which grows in India and the Straits settlements, so that it can be used to manufacture textile fabrics. The cloth made therefrom is said to resemble silk and to cost little more than cotton. The supply of the grass is said to be well nigh exhaustless, and it may revolutionize the cloth industry.

In communications to their official journal cigar-makers of Tampa, Fla., recently dispatched, recently sent out to the effect that the cigar trust has forced independent manufacturers to run open factories and break signed agreements with the international union. It is also stated that the bosses have been attempting to play the union against the Rosolencia, the independent organization of Cuban workers, with the hope of destroying both.

The Brotherhood of Painters, Decorators and Paperhangers is voting on the proposition to establish a home for aged and disabled painters. If the general idea is adopted, two assessments will be levied next year on the 40,000 members, 50 cents each, during the first six months, and 50 cents more during the latter half of 1902. This would put \$40,000 in the hands of the general officers of the brotherhood to carry out the plans of the benevolent project.

A joint committee representing the local district assembly, Knights of Labor, and the Anti-Trust league at Washington, D. C., adopted resolutions calling upon Congress to appropriate a sum equal to that given by Andrew Carnegie for the erection of a Washington public library and that the Carnegie donation be returned to him. This action

was taken on the alleged ground that Carnegie is a foe to organized labor. Congress was also asked to pass promptly a Chinese exclusion law and an eight-hour law.

Members of the Shipowners' Drydock company of Chicago filed a petition Thursday in the superior court, asking for an injunction to restrain the Ship Carpenters and Tinkers' Union from interfering with workmen. It is set forth in the petition that since a strike was declared at the plant of the drydock concern last November members of the union have been trying to induce laborers to join them and quit work. The company further alleges that on account of the interference of which they complain it is having difficulty in carrying out contracts.

Save Money

Buy your Piano of

The Kreiter Piano

Company,

330 Grand Ave. and 377 Third Street.

Largest Piano Business in the North-west.

Special Sale

Commencing Monday, Nov. 4.

We must make room for four earloads

of Pianos just arrived from the East and

will sell a large stock of good Pianos at

manufacturers' cost.

\$400 Pianos \$268.

\$350 Pianos \$230.

\$325 Pianos \$195.

\$300 Pianos \$180.

\$250 Pianos \$145.

It will pay you to visit our warerooms

and inspect the Pianos we sell for \$130.00